

**Part I: READING COMPREHENSION - KEY**

1.

R/N/W

2.

- a. Transport pollutes a lot so if we eat less meat, we transport less animals.
- b. Animals themselves emit toxic gases.
- c. Breeding animals also destroys other species' natural habitat.

3.

FALSE - Quote: "meat production accounts for nearly a fifth of global greenhouse gas emissions"

4.

It's a good idea because it's easy and fast compared to other methods.

5.

Toxic gas emissions should be reduced.

6.

He expects strong responses from the food industry because they want to sell their meat and they don't want a reduction in consumption.

7.

He is a chef and serves meat to his clients so it is surprising he would choose to agree with the idea of eating less meat.

8.

He also mentions the issue of the origin of the meat. Imported meat has to be transported and therefore generates more carbon emissions. According to him, local meat is far less harmful to the environment.

9.

If people eat 50% less meat, there will be more impact than if they use their cars 50% less.

10.

The UK is doing well compared to other countries of the Western world but it is consuming more than WHO recommends.

11.

He doesn't want laws and rules to oblige people to eat less meat but thinks it would be better to educate people, to show them a more vegetarian diet is better for many reasons.

12.

He thinks that by cutting some emissions, we increase others or create other environmental problems, like in the example given of the cattle.

13.

He thinks they are simplistic because very little is known on the subject to this day and because some ideas are good for one environmental issue, sometimes to the detriment of another.

14.

It would have less effect because milk and dairy products are based on cattle farming, which itself produces toxic emissions.